CHASING AFTER MINERALITY, RELATIONSHIP TO YEASTS NUTRITIONAL STRESS AND SUCCINIC ACID PRODUCTION

BAROŇ M.[1*], **FIALA J.**[2]
[1] Department of Viticulture and Oenology, Faculty of Horticulture, Mendel University Brno Czech Republic
[2] Department of Fermentation Chemistry and Bioengineering, Faculty of Food and Biochemical Technology, ICT Prague Czech Republic
**Corresponding author:* mojmirbaron@seznam.cz, Tel.: +420 519 367 252, fax: +420 519 367 222

ABSTRACT
Minerality is certainly one of the most mysterious and most valuable tones of wine taste and it is very often associated with the concept of *terroir*. The isotachophoresis was used for determination of cations – minerals in two wines from vineyards with different soil conditions, with and without exceptional "minerality". However, it was found that with minerals it has nothing to do. More attention was paid to the relationship between the nutritional stress of yeasts and succinic acid production, which can result in a final difference in the taste of wine. In addition, sensory evaluation was used to reveal differences between wines with increasing levels of succinic acid.

Keywords: minerality, GABA, nutritional stress, nitrogen catabolite repression, proline utilization, succinic acid production

INTRODUCTION
Flowers, fruits or wood are sure the tones which have their place in great wines, but the minerality probably occupies the first place among all wine attributes. For all its exclusivity and status, minerality has been defined only vaguely and still it is not well described. *Mineral, salty, flinty, chalky*, but also *dusty* are terms which can be used to describe one of the most popular and the most valuable characteristics of wine called "minerality". But what it really is and where does it come from? This question is certainly of interest not only for innumerable lovers of wine but also for experts and scientists in the field. Each of us can surely recall a lot of analogies that characterize this special sensory (organoleptic) characteristic, which is often called minerality.

It is expected that for the taste of minerality above all cations (or alkaline metals) are responsible. Minerals are natural components of grape musts and wines. They originate either from the soil in vineyards or from processes associated with wine making and storing. Ashes, as some minerals are also called, must be present in amounts of 2 - 5 g/L. Musts originating from some southern countries may contain up to 10 g/L of ashes. In dry years (as e.g. in 2003), the content of minerals is usually lower whereas in years with plenty of precipitations it can be relatively high. As far as the berries are concerned, the distribution of minerals is as follows: approximately 40 % of them are present in the skin, 50 % in the pulp and 10 % in seeds. Of cations, the most frequent are potassium, calcium, and magnesium (RIBÉREAU-GAYON *et al.* 2006). These elements are involved in neutralization of acids in grapes, musts, and ultimately also wine. Potassium as a predominating element shows the greatest effect on changes in acidity. Under normal conditions, the percentage of magnesium is the lowest. However, this is a pity because, in contradistinction to potassium and calcium, magnesium salts do not precipitate in wine (magnesium salts show a high solubility at each temperature and pH).

But are they identical with those minerals that we appreciate in wine? Probably not, as can be read in the report presented by Alex Maltman at the annual meeting of the American Geological Society in 2009. The author of this report claimed that a frequently mentioned connection between the geological composition of soil in vineyards and the expression of wine taste was scientifically impossible. He concluded that such connection was a *romantic myth* and claimed that none taste of minerality in wine was caused by minerals present in the vineyard. This means that the transport

of minerals from the vineyard through roots and stems into grapes and thereafter into the glass is irrelevant.

In essence it would be very simple, if the results were positive and confirm the hypothesis of cations. But this is not true and for that reason there is another explanation possible. Maybe we are all wrong and the mythic minerality is not related to soil conditions, at least not directly, as it might seem at the first sight. The first doubts about it were published by PEYNAUD *et al.* (1984), who mentioned organoleptic properties of succinic acid. Its taste was described as salty, slightly bitter, and very desiccating the mouth. BATCH *et al.* 2009 examined possibilities of addition of this acid into wine. Succinic acid is naturally present in wine in relatively high concentrations ranging from 0.5 to 1.5 g/L. Succinic acid can be produced biochemically via the oxidative branch of the Krebs cycle due to mutation of succinate dehydrogenase (ARIKAWA *et al.* 1999; CAMARASA *et al.* 2003), or via the glyoxylate cycle (KAMZOLOVA *et al.* 2009). Succinic acid can also be produced chemically by the decarboxylation of α-ketoglutaric acid under the action of oxidizing agents, such hydrogen peroxide (KAMZOLOVA *et al.* 2009).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Wines used for the comparison of cations contents (varieties 'Italian Riesling' and 'Grüner Veltliner', both 2007, n = 6) originated from the Mikulov wine-growing subregion, vineyards 'Ořechová hora' (wine-growing community Březí) and 'Pod Děvínem' (wine-growing community Bavory). Grapes were harvested in two vineyards with different soil conditions. Both varieties were selected on the base of availability and traditions in this locality. The vineyard 'Ořechová hora' is situated near the village Březí. Soil in this vineyard can be described as moderate, predominantly muck with calcium content up to 10 %. The vineyard 'Pod Děvínem' is situated in the cadastre of the village Horní Věstonice. In this locality, the soil is described as loamy, mostly dry soil with a high content of calcium (up to 25 %).
For experiment with succinic acid addition, the neutral wine 'Malverina', pH 3.3, titratable acids 5.8 g/L, residual sugar 6.2 g/L was used.

Reagents and solvents. Hydrochloric acid (HPLC grade, manufacturer Lach-Ner s.r.o, Czech Republic). HPMC (hydroxypropylmethylcellulose), caproic acid, and BTP (1,3-bis[tris(hydroxymethyl)methylamino] propane) were purchased from the Sigma Aldrich, USA. Hydrochloric acid (c = 0.1 mol/dm^3, Penta, CZ), α-aminobuttyric acid (Sigma Aldrich, USA), sodium borate buffer (AccQ Taq Eluent, Waters Corporation, USA). Methanol, acetonitrile both HPLC grade, Lach-Ner, CZE. Derivatizing agent Waters AccQ Fluor (6-aminoquinolyl-N-hydroxysuccinimidyl carbamate, Waters Corporation, USA).

Determination of cations. Cations were determined by Capillary Isotachophoresis (CITP), Ionosep 2003, Recman, Czech Republic. Leading electrolyte (LE): 5 mM H_2SO_4 + 7 mM-18-crown-6 + 0.1 % HPMC. Terminating electrolyte (TE): 10 mM BTP. Initial current: 100 μA, final current 50 μA, mode of analysis: cationic.

Determination of individual amino acids. Colon: AccQ Tag Amino Acid Analysis (4 μm Nova-Pak C18, 3.9 mm × 150 mm), Detector: fluorescent (exc. wavelength 250 nm, em. 395 nm), mobile phase: **A** 100 mL AccQ Tag eluent (acetate-phosphate buffer) in 1 l demineralised water, **B** acetonitrile, **C** demineralised water (18 MΩ), flow: 1 ml/min, injection: 10 μL, temperature: 37°C, max. pressure: 4000 psi (26 MPa), time of analysis: 53 minutes, retention time of solvent: 20 minutes.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Wines from the Pálava Hills, in this case from the vineyard site 'Pod Děvínem', and especially varieties 'Italian Riesling', 'Riesling', and 'Chardonnay' belong to the pearls of Moravian wines and recently they were awarded with numerous medals at international wine competitions. Indeed, many experts argue that these wines are absolutely recognizable because of their exceptional minerality. The working hypothesis was to confirm a higher mineral content in wines originating from the vineyard site 'Pod Děvínem'.

Compared were contents of cations in wines made of varieties 'Italian Riesling' and 'Grüner Veltliner' (Figs 1 and 2). It was found out that they had nothing to do with minerals (Fig. 1 and 2). While the 'Italian Riesling' from the vineyard site 'Pod Děvínem' was in line with our expectations of a higher content of mineral, results obtained with 'Grüner Veltliner' from the vineyard site "Ořechová hora" were exactly the opposite. Measurements did not reveal a clear trend and showed that the mineral content is not only the characteristic of locality but that also other factors play a significant role, in this case at least the variety. However this indicates that the content of minerals is either just a matter of some varieties (which would not be a logical explanation) or that it is probably really different. Moreover, differences in their concentrations were rather low, and despite all the respect for the sensory capabilities of trained experts, probably unrecognisable.



Fig. 1: Average content of cations in 'Italian Riesling' wines from different growing sub-regions (n=6)



Fig. 2: Average content of cations in 'Grüner Veltliner' wines from different growing sub-regions (n=6)

In principle it works as follows: If the nitrogen source is poor or it is simply easier to use GABA, yeasts can do this (COLEMAN *et al.* 2001). This means that, during fermentation, as much as several hundred or even more mg/L of succinic acid can be produced, which can result in a final difference in the taste of wine. Succinic acid can be esterified and emerging ethylester or diethylester can contribute to the bouquet of wine.

This expectation can be also further supported by several minor synergistic factors. Firstly, the quantity of easy assimilable nitrogen source is always lower for musts from lighter and less humic soil. This means that, due to a nutritional stress, yeasts are able to use those resources, which are not commonly consumed and for that reason they sometimes produce unusual substances.
Secondly, it is known that varieties such as 'Chardonnay', 'Riesling' and 'Sauvignon Blanc' contain higher numbers of proline, which cannot be assimilated without oxygen (MAURICIO *et al.* 2001, HERBERT *et al.* 2005, STINES *et al.* 2000). Thus, if a large proportion of nitrogen is present in the form of proline, yeasts will prefer GABA (BATCH *et al.* 2009, HUANG *et al.* 2000).

Although for many laboratory strains of *S. cerevisiae* proline is a less preferred source of nitrogen, it is very often the most abundant in berries. When better sources of nitrogen such as ammonia, asparagine and glutamine are not available, *S. cerevisiae* can degrade the proline to glutamate in mitochondria (SOUFLEROS *et al.* 2003). This degradation is catalyzed by proline oxidase, which is nuclear encoded with genes PUT1 and PUT2. This phenomenon occurs only in the presence of proline and the absence of preferred sources of nitrogen. The presence of better sources of nitrogen inhibits this route, which is known as the effect of Nitrogen Catabolite Repression (NCR) (WIAME *et al.* 1985, TER SCHURE *et al.* 2000). This phenomenon may explain an unexpectable behaviour of proline during fermentation.

In our experiment, free amino acid profiles occurring in the course of fermentation in four different musts were obtained by means HPLC analysis (Fig. 3). Next step was to determine the sensory activity of succinic acid in wine. In a blind wine tasting, the increasing additions of succinic acid were detected by all seven evaluators (holders of a licence issued by CAFIA – Czech Agriculture and Food Inspection Authority) already in the concentration of 100 mg/L as compared with control wine without succinic acid addition. Higher concentrations were found to be vinous, sapid, salty and sometimes even bitter. Concentrations of 500 mg/L were evaluated as a rough, evocating an impression of very strong dry, unnatural taste in the mouth. Reaction of assessors to increasing concentrations of succinic acid in a blind test is illustrated in Fig. 4 (average of five values). Tested samples were served in a different order and the assessors assigned their taste to added concentrations of succinic acid on the base of their previous experience. It was clearly demonstrated that an addition of succinic acid was very distinct even in the randomized order of samples. The coefficient of reliability of the resulting linear regression was R^2 = 0.8173 and this confirmed a substantial compliance.



Fig. 3: Evolution of free amino acids during fermentation of four grape musts (A, B, C, D) (var. 'Grüner Veltliner', vintage 2007)



Fig. 4: Assignment of wine samples with increasing addition of succinic acid in blind wine tasting

In this context, it is necessary to emphasize that these experimental results do not undermine the concept of *terroir*; quite on the contrary, it supports it significantly because only in vineyards with truly exceptional properties the ratio between moisture and amounts of nitrogen in berries is really well balanced.

Minerality need not to be influenced only by succinic acid or its ethylesters (i.e. ethyl and diethyl succinate) but also by a complex of substances generated under conditions of nutritional stress. Especially unpredictable proline content and its behaviour during the fermentation of musts with different assimilable nitrogen levels is of great interest. Proline can also become a source of glutamic acid, which (after the deamination) increases the level of α – ketoglutaric acid and thus also (via Krebs cycle) the amount of succinic acid (Fig. 5).



1 – glutamate dehyrogenase
2 – arginase
3 – ornithine aminotransferase
4 – pyrroline-5-carboxylate dehydrogenase
5 – proline oxidase (aerobic)
6 – glutamine synthetase
7 - glutamate dehyrogenase
8 – glutamate decarboxylase
9 – GABA transaminase
10 – via Krebs cycle

Fig. 5: Biochemical pathway of succinic acid in wine

CONCLUSIONS

It can be concluded that although many people may argue that if this "new" opinion were true, then any added source of nutrition could reduce the so-called minerality. This may be true but the problem of nutrition and particularly ammonium ions is rather complex and requires further research. For winemakers, the chase after minerality can involve another hidden risk because it can even result in nutritional deficits and difficulties associated with fermentation and final quality of produced wine.

References

ARIKAWA Y., KUROYANAGI T., SHIMOSAKA M., MURATSUBAKI H., ENOMOTO K., KODAIRA R., OKAZAKI M. Effect of gene disruptions of the TCA cycle on production of succinic acid in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *J Biosci Bioeng* 87:28-36 (1999).

CAMARASA C., GRIVET J. P., DEQUIN S. Investigation by 13C-NMR and tricarboxylic acid (TCA) deletion mutant analysis of pathways for succinate formation in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* during anaerobic fermentation. *Microbiology* 149:2669-2678 (2003).

KAMZOLOVA S. V., YUSUPOVA A. I., VINOKUROVA N. G., FEDOTCHEVA N. I., KONDRASHOVA M. N., FINOGENOVA T. V., MORGUNOV I. G. Chemically assisted microbial production of succinic acid by the yeast *Yarrowia lipolytica* grown on ethanol. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol*., 83(6):1027-1034 (2009).

KAMZOLOVA S. V., YUSUPOVA A. I., DEDYUKHINA E. G., CHISTYAKOVA T. I., KOZYREVA T. M. AND MORGUNOV I. G. Succinic acid synthesis by ethanol-grown yeast. *Food technology and Biotechnology*, Vol. 47, N 2, p. 144-152, 30 (2009).

BATCH B., SAUVAGE F.X., DEQUIN S. AND CAMARASA C., Role of γ-Aminobutyric Acid as a Source of Nitrogen and Succinate in Wine, *Am. J. Enol. Vitic.* 508 – 516 (2009).

COLEMAN S.T., FANG T.K., ROVINSKY S.A., TURANO F.J. MOYE-ROWLEY W.S. Expression of a glutamate decarboxylase homologue is required for normal oxidative stress tolerance in *Saccharomyces cerevisce*. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 276, No. 1, Issue of January 5, pp. 244–250 (2001).

HERBERT, P., CABRITA, M.J., RATOLA, N., LAUREANO, O.,ALVES, A. Free amino acids and biogenic amines in wines and musts from the Alentejo region. Evolution of amines during alcoholic fermentation and relationnship with variety, sub-region and vintage. *Journal of Food Engineering*, vol. 66. pp. 315-322 (2005).

HUANG H.L., BRANDRISS M.C. The regulator of the yeast proline utilization pathway is differentially phosphorylated in response to the quality of the nitrogen source. *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, February 2000, p. 892-899, Vol. 20, No. 3.

MAURICIO J.C., VALERO E., MILLAN C. AND ORTEGA J.M., Changes in nitrogen compounds in must and wine during fermentation and biological aging by flor yeasts. *J Agric Food Chem*, 49:3310–3315 (2001).
PEYNAUD, E. Conditions for development of yeasts—Conducting alcoholic fermentation., *Knowing and Making Wine*. 2d ed., pp. 107-119. Wiley Interscience, New York (1984).

RIBÉREAU-GAYON P., DUBOURDIEU D., DONECHE B., LONVAUD A. *Handbook of Enology*, Volume II: The Chemistry of Wine and Stabilization and Treatments. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd ISBN: 0-470-01037-1 (2006).

SOUFLEROS, E. H., BOULOUMPASI, E., TSARCHOPOULOS, C., BILIADERIS, C. G. Primary amino acid profiles of Greek white wines and their use in classification according to variety, origin and vintage. *Food chemistry*, vol. 80, pp. 261-273. ISSN 0308-8146 (2003).

STINES A.P., GRUBB J., GOCKOWIAK H., HENSCHKE P.A., HØJ P.B., VAN HEESWIJCK R. Proline and arginine accumulation in developing berries of *Vitis vinifera* L. in Australian vineyards: Influence of vine kultivar, berry maturity and tissue type. *Australian Journal of Grape and Wine Research* 6, 150-158 (2000).

TER SCHURE, E.G., VAN RIEL, N.A.W. AND VERRIPS, C.T. The role of ammonia metabolism in nitrogen catabolite repression in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. FEMS *Microbiol. Rev*. 24, 67 - 83 (2000).

WIAME, J.M., GRENSON, M., ARST, H.N.J.R. Nitrogen catabolite repression in yeast and filamentous fungi. *Adv. Microb. Physiol*. 26, 1–88 (1985).